

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Michael O. Rocheleau	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,299	04/13/2004			MT-136	4771
50997	7590	11/09/2005		EXAMINER	
MITCHELL	D. BITT	rman '	RINEHART, KENNETH		
SEQUA COR	PORATI	ON			
3 UNIVERSI	ΓΥ PLAZ	ZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HACKENSACK, NJ 07601			3749		

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/823,299	ROCHELEAU, MICHAEL O.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kenneth B. Rinehart	3749		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. _b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): see attached. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

FFIDAVIT OR OTHER	EVIDENCE
-------------------	----------

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12.	□ Note the attached	information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)
13	☐ Other:		•	

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,299

Art Unit: 3749

Applicant's arguments filed 11/3/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with

respect to claim 1. The applicant argues, "Examiner states that Vits air foil has

primary slot 8 and a second discharge spaced and stepped down from the primary slot. The

Examiner further states that Vits discloses a second web support surface above downstream of

the secondary discharge slot in the direction of web travel. This is incorrect." The examiner

disagrees. The slot is located at 3 in figure 1. The web support surface is the surface located

above item 3. The applicant next argues that Vits does not discharge air parallel to the web. The

examiner disagrees. The air ejected from slot 3 discharges air parallel to the web, since the air

has nowhere else to go but in a parallel direction. The amendment to claims 11, 12 and 13 would

place these claims in condition for allowance.

CENNETH RINEHART

Page 2